

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH P. CUVIELLO, *et al.*,

No. C-06-5517 EMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER DENYING INTERVENOR'S
MOTION TO MODIFY PERMANENT
INJUNCTION**

CITY OF OAKLAND, *et al.*,

(Docket No. 485)

Defendants.

Currently pending before the Court is Intervenor Feld Entertainment, Inc.'s motion to modify the permanent injunction. A hearing was held on the motion on October 26, 2012. For the reasons stated on the record, the Court hereby **DENIES** Feld's motion.


As the Court noted at the hearing, Feld seeks to modify the injunction based on a recent incident in which Plaintiffs and/or their associates allegedly assaulted and harassed Feld employees. However, the permanent injunction in the case need not be modified because it does not permit or suggest that Plaintiffs have the right to engage in any such activity. Rather, the injunction simply gives Plaintiffs access to certain areas "in order to conduct their free speech activity (*i.e.*, videotaping, displaying signs, leafletting, or otherwise protesting)." Docket No. 235 (R&R at 12), *adopted by* Docket No. 240 (order). Nothing about the injunction bars Feld from seeking a remedy (based, *e.g.*, on state criminal law or state tort law) if Plaintiffs engage in unlawful conduct such as assault, battery, or damaging property. Indeed, that Feld was able to obtain a temporary restraining

1 order from a state court based on Plaintiffs' actions that took place on August 7, 2012, demonstrates
2 that Feld has adequate remedies to protect its rights.

3 This order disposes of Docket No. 485.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: November 1, 2012

8 
9 EDWARD M. CHEN
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28